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Key takeaways

The purpose of this paper is to explore and provide guidance on the following:

- Why do organisations define business processes?
- Considerations for business appropriate process definition
  - for the audience
  - for the available tooling and
  - based on the maturity
- Guidance on process levelling
  - Real IRM's process levelling approach, detailing six levels of process
  - Relating process levels to levels of abstraction – contextual, conceptual, logical, physical

Background

Business process modelling as a technique for capturing the complexities of industrial processes emerged in the early 20th century. It took on many different forms from flow diagrams and flow charts by the 1920’s to functional block diagrams and PERT in the 1950’s and eventually IDEF by 1970. The term “business process modelling” was however only coined in 1967 by S.Williams [1].

Process thinking took off with renewed energy in the 90’s driven by productivity requirements, and Michael Hammer’s article published in the Harvard Business Review in 1990 [2], started a wave focussed on business process re-engineering (BPR). Many other articles and books with BPR and other new business process concepts followed, including:

- Business Process Improvement (Harrington, 1991),
- Process Innovation: Reengineering work through information technology (Davenport, 1993)
- Reengineering the Corporation: A Manifesto for Business Revolution (Hammer, Champy, 1993)
- Automating Business Process Reengineering (Hansen, Gregory 1993)

As the thinking around business processes matured, digitising the business process for workflow or automation purposes forced the definition of a more disciplined approach and gave birth to the concept Business Process Management. Business Process Management initially focused on the automation of business processes with the use of information technology but later expanded to include human centric processes.

Business Process Management is defined as the definition, improvement and management of a firm’s end-to-end enterprise business processes - in order to achieve outcomes crucial to a performance-based, customer-driven firm. The outcomes are defined as: 1) clarity on strategic direction, 2) alignment of the firm’s resources, and 3) increased discipline in daily operations. [3]

Note: The BPM acronym used to denote Business Process Management is problematic, as it is also used in some literature to denote Business Process Modelling and in others Business Performance Management. In this white paper the complete concept name will therefore be used throughout.
Business process modelling fits into the space of defining the enterprise’s business processes and is therefore a critical and fundamental component in the Business Process Management initiative or practice.

Defining Business Processes

The decision to define a business process is deliberate and aims to assist the organisation with making the process component of the business explicit. Organisations embark on this activity for a number of reasons:

- Responding to change: changing the way the business operates or delivers service to its clients
- Complying with regulations: the Sarbanes-Oxley act for example, requires the explicit documentation of certain processes
- Dealing with complexity: clarifying responsibility for activities and ensuring a common conversation within the organisation [4]

In addition, organisations embarking on this journey are also:

1) Turning individual tacit knowledge into organisational knowledge - ensuring the organisation rather than individuals respond to change, comply with regulation and deal with complexity, and
2) Building the intellectual capital of the organisation – ensuring the process definition as an intangible asset supports change and complexity.

The process definition as an intangible asset within the intellectual capital formula implies responsibility: responsibility for quality and value to stakeholders in the organisation.

Business appropriate process definition

Defining a business process is usually associated with the creation of a model. A model is useful for depicting the flow of process activities and the events that trigger the flow. Traditionally a process model, started with a START event, spanned the breadth of the room and ended with an END event. And although this level of process model is applicable to the stakeholders with an execution responsibility, it is mostly information overload to others. One process model therefore is not appropriate or deemed to be of value to all audiences.
**Audience**

Stakeholders in the organisation have various perspectives. The executive or strategist focusing on **change** is interested in the bigger picture of the organisation - understanding the context and scope of the activities the organisation engages in. Process levelling enables the creation of process groupings within which a particular activity resides and relates in a hierarchy to a child and/or parent process group. Within this hierarchy different levels of processes allow stakeholders with diverse perspectives to Macro processes (as a process grouping seen in the diagram on L1) enable scope questions, for example: “Do we need to acquire a license before we can start with the establishment of the operation?”

The Enterprise process supports strategy questions for example: “Will this aspect be part of our core business?”

![Figure 1 L0 through L2 with stakeholders](image)

**Tooling**

To define a business process, tooling is required. In general, the three most common types of tools are:

- **Text-based**: word processing, spread sheet or presentation software is used to create the necessary detail in order to document information about the process.
- **Drawing tool**: a graphic tool is used to create pictures or diagrams to represent the process flow. The picture is usually combined with some text containing more detail.
- **Modelling tool**: a modelling tool (usually within the Enterprise Architecture toolset space) allows the capability to create a model of the business process versus just a diagram (see Table 1: Model versus diagram). [4]
As organisations mature, they generally move from a text-based process definition approach to using a drawing tool, with a possibility of then using a modelling tool. This is due to the rigor, flexibility and quality that a modelling tool brings to the table. All modelling tools are not created equal and the amount of rigor enforced by a particular tool should be considered when procuring.

**Maturity**

Maturity of the organisation influences what is experienced as valuable. For an organisation with a low level of maturity, the value of one slide depicting the business value chain is immense – whereas possibly the activity model at level 4 has limited value. This is amplified when factors such as the cost and time involved in producing models at the lower level is considered.

From a maturity perspective, the notion of a *model* and a *diagram* needs mentioning. These terms are often used as synonyms. There are however distinct differences to be aware of and this impact decisions on tooling and on business appropriateness. Consider some of the differences highlighted in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Model versus diagram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on methods (e.g. EPC, BPMN, UML) and toolset that support and drive the behaviour and rules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-user, if executed with supporting modeling tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objects &amp; relationships between objects in the “picture” have meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objects &amp; relationships are defined once and re-used (i.e. integrated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supports primitive / composite thinking as defined by Zachman [5].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured modeling approach – each component has meaning as per the defined method and the toolset applying the rules</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main difference between a diagram and a model is with the diagram being specific to the author. There are no semantics (meaning) inherent in the way the diagram is depicted – the only way meaning is understood by others, is by the author explaining this. A model on the other hand
uses a specific modelling technique to communicate meaning and the rules and behaviour expected, are supported by the rules inherent in the technique and the modelling tool that acts according to these rules. So this implies if text-based or drawing tools are used as process definition tooling, it is a diagram being produced and not a model.

### Process levelling approach

The introduction into the process levelling approach focused on the audience as an influence on the appropriate process level. The example used showed the strategist and executive examining the top of the process hierarchy where process steps are gathered into cohesive groups. These process groups represent the business at an enterprise level. It focuses attention on the strategic “what” and “why”; providing context and enabling stakeholders with strategic management and scoping questions. It represents the “business on one page”. The Exploration and mining business reference model [6] below is an example of this level.

---

**Figure 2: The Open Group's EMMM Forum Exploration and Mining Business Reference model [6]**

---

Each process group is broken down into the next level, until the required level of detail has been achieved. Each level modelled has a specific objective and type of stakeholder it is typically aimed at. As the hierarchy drills down into lower levels, the detail becomes more until we have eventually detailed a process at an individual task level. Note that not all process modelling exercises require the lowest level of detail.

Consider these guidelines when adopting a process levelling approach:

- **Maintain top-down traceability across the various process levels**
  A process defined at any level must be traceable to the highest level. For example, if an activity is defined on Level 4, it should be linked to a Sub-process on Level 3, which is part of a Level 2 process, that implements part of a Level 1 value chain that enables a Level 0 enterprise process.

- **Only model to the level of detail required**
  Although the process levels are specified to a very detailed level, models should only be developed at the more detailed levels if there is a defined business requirement. As a guideline we recommend that all processes be defined from Level 0 to Level 2 to provide the bigger picture for future process development, but that more detailed process models should only be developed if there is guaranteed business benefit.
Real IRM’s process levelling approach uses the Exploration and Mining model as an example to step through the applicable process levels. The example follows the EM Reference model provided in Figure 2 down to sub-process Level 3. As the reference model itself does not provide lower level detail, example models have been included to complete the hierarchy in Figure 3.

Generic role names have been included to indicate the typical audience interested in a process level. This may differ slightly within the individual organisation.

**Process and abstraction levels**

What remains is to relate process levels to the levels of abstraction used in architecture practices. The contextual, conceptual, logical and physical levels are recognised in most architecture methods and used in organisations to scope the problem space for a particular audience.

In mapping these levels of abstraction to the proposed process levels, the organisation need to make a decision on exactly what will be represented in the individual levels, based on the modelling toolset of choice. The levels could be different from what is proposed below, based on practical or appropriateness considerations.

**Table 2: Process levels and levels of abstraction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process Level</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Synonym</th>
<th>Abstraction level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L0</td>
<td>Enterprise</td>
<td>Operating model</td>
<td>Contextual</td>
<td>A high level view defining the scope and boundaries of the organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Macro Process</td>
<td>Value Chain, Main Process</td>
<td>Conceptual</td>
<td>An abstract model that are constructed to enable reasoning within an idealised framework. Idealised here means that the model may make explicit assumptions that are known to be false in some detail. Such assumptions may be justified on the grounds that they simplify the model while, at the same time, allowing the production of acceptably accurate solutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Business Process</td>
<td>Logical</td>
<td>Models representing the complete business and system requirements without reference to a specific implementation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>Sub-process</td>
<td>Realisation, Work cycle</td>
<td>Logical</td>
<td>Models representing the complete business and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A process levelling approach is used in a number of industry best practice models. In the paper, The Open Group’s Exploration and Mining Business Reference model is used as an example. A number of other industry examples of process levelling are worth investigating:

- NGOSS eTOM Process Hierarchy (within the Frameworx) [7]
- Supply-Chain Operations Reference (SCOR) [8]
- APQC’s Process Classification framework [9]

**Conclusion**

Process modelling is an accepted business practice used to improve productivity, retain the intellectual capital and support organisational change and complexity.

Process definitions, as intangible assets need to be aligned to their organisational impact. Process levelling as an approach, ensures that process models are aimed at particular stakeholders – focusing the value and impact to a given perspective. This is an approach used by a number of industry organisations and forums.

Real IRM recommends six process levels. These process levels are based on theory and best practices, and have been proven in practice at several clients. The organisation embarking on process modelling, should define its own process hierarchy using an approach such as the one presented as an example. Then define the relationship to the modelling tool of choice – specifically the models and objects that will be used to ensure consistency and quality in establishing this most important organisational asset.
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